

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
'Kamat Towers' Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Shri. Prashant S. P. Tendolkar ,
State Chief Information Commissioner

Appeal No.111/SCIC/2017

Shri Sunil S. Gad,
Near Bharat Gas, Depo,
Merces, Vaddem,
Vasco da Gama –Goa. Appellant

V/s

The First Appellate Authority,
Mormugao Municipal Council,
Vasco da Gama. Respondent

Filed on: 20/07/2017

Decided on: 07/02/2018

O R D E R

1. The appellant has filed this proceedings purportedly as an appeal. As per the averments contained in the appeal memo, it is the contention of appellant that he had filed an application on 5/2/2016 seeking information, which is apparently the objection filed by one Pramod Gad on his application dated 16/7/2015. According to him the information was not furnished and he filed first appeal to First Appellate Authority on 9/12/2016.

2. Apparently according to appellant the first appeal was not heard but the FAA being the chief officer issued demolition notice of appellant's structure. It

...2/-

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
'Kamat Towers' Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Shri. Prashant S. P. Tendolkar ,
State Chief Information Commissioner

Appeal No.111/SCIC/2017

Shri Sunil S. Gad,
Near Bharat Gas, Depo,
Merces, Vaddem,
Vasco da Gama –Goa. Appellant

V/s

The First Appellate Authority,
Mormugao Municipal Council,
Vasco da Gama. Respondent

Filed on: 20/07/2017

Decided on: 07/02/2018

O R D E R

1. The appellant has filed this proceedings purportedly as an appeal. As per the averments contained in the appeal memo, it is the contention of appellant that he had filed an application on 5/2/2016 seeking information, which is apparently the objection filed by one Pramod Gad on his application dated 16/7/2015. According to him the information was not furnished and he filed first appeal to First Appellate Authority on 9/12/2016.

2. Apparently according to appellant the first appeal was not heard but the FAA being the chief officer issued demolition notice of appellant's structure. It

...2/-

further appears that the appellant has a grievance against the Chief officer that she is taking revenge against the appellant on account of certain issue.

3. It also appears from the averments in the appeal memo that he is not furnished the information.

4. By the present appeal the appellant has prayed for an inquiry as to who has destroyed his house tax application as also for such relief as may be just and proper.

5. Notice of this appeal was served on the parties. Adv. V. Pednekar appeared on behalf of the respondent FAA and filed reply.

6. I have perused the records and considered the grievance of the appellant. On the face of it the relief in the form of any inquiry against the functioning of the public authority is beyond the purview of the RTI Act unless the same relates to the dispensation of information. The appellant has not joined PIO as a party to this appeal nor any relief is sought against him. The appellant remained absent all through out to clarify as to how the inquiry, as prayed for, falls under the act. The appellant has shown no concern for the process of this commission. The appeal memo is vague and lacs material particulars for being considered.

7. Considering the above I find no merits in the appeal and the same is liable to be dismissed.

The appeal is therefore dismissed. Notify the parties. Proceedings closed. Pronounced in the open proceedings.

Sd/
(Mr. Prashant S. P. Tendolkar)
State Chief Information commissioner
Goa State Information Commission
Panaji-Goa